ī	
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3	HONORABLE ANDREW J. GUILFORD, JUDGE PRESIDING
4	
5	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
6	Plaintiff,)
7	vs.) No. SACR 06-129-AG
8	EDWARD SHOWALTER,)
9	Defendant. CERTIFIED
10	COPY
11	
12	
13	
14	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
15	Sentencing
16	Santa Ana, California
17	Monday, March 3, 2008
18	
19	
_20	
21	Jane C.S. Rule, CSR 9316 Federal Official Court Reporter
22	United States District Court 411 West 4th Street, Room 1-053
23	Santa Ana, California 92701 (714) 558-7755
24	(111) 330 1133
25	08-03-03 SHOWALTER

1	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:
2	
3	On behalf of PLAINTIFF UNITED STATES OF AMERICA:
4	
5	DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
6	BY: ANDREW STOLPER Assistant United States Attorney
7	411 W. Fourth Street Suite 8000
8	Santa Ana, California 92701 (714) 338-3500
9	* **
10	
11	On behalf of DEFENDANT EDWARD SHOWALTER:
12	
13	LAW OFFICES OF EZEKIEL E. CORTEZ By: EZEKIEL E. CORTEZ
14 15	Attorney at Law 1010 Second Avenue Suite 1850
16	San Deigo, California 92101 (619) 237-0309
17	- AND -
18	BIENERT, MILLER, WEITZER & KATZMAN By: KENNETH M. MILLER
19	Attorney at Law 115 Avenida Mirimar
20	San Clemente, California 92672 (949) 369-3700
21	(515) 305 3.00
22	
23	
24	
25	

SACR 06-129-AG - 03/03/2008

1	INDEX
2	
3	
4	SENTENCING AFTER GUILTY PLEA TO ONE COUNT INFORMATION
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
L1	
12	
13	
14	
L5 L6	
L7	
L 7	
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

SANTA ANA, CALIFORNIA, MONDAY, MARCH 3, 2008 1 2 SENTENCING 13:46:27 3 (3:38 p.m.)15:38:54 4 THE CLERK: Remain seated and come to order. This 15:38:55 5 Court is again in session. 15:38:58 6 Item 9, SACR 06-129, United States of America v. 15:39:05 7 Edward Showalter. 15:39:10 8 Appearances, please, counsel. 15:39:11 9 MR. STOLPER: Good afternoon, your Honor. Andrew 15:39:1310 Stolper on behalf of the government. MR. CORTEZ: Good afternoon, Your Honor. 15:39:1311 Ezekiel 15:39:1412 Cortez on behalf of Mr. Showalter, who is present. MR. MILLER: Good afternoon, your Honor. :39:1813 Ken 15:39:2014 Miller also on behalf of Mr. Showalter. 15:39:2315 THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon, counsel. Good afternoon, Mr. Showalter. 15:39:2516 We are here for a sentencing. Let me tell you at 15:39:2617 15:39:3318 least some of the documents I've received because, indeed, 15:39:3619 I've received many documents. I want to be sure I have 15:39:4620 received and reviewed all the necessary documents. 15:39:5221 So I have reviewed voluminous financial records 15:40:0222 provided by the defendant. I have reviewed Defendant 15:40:1023 Showalter's additional materials for sentencing and for bail 15:40:1424 pending appeal. I've received the government's opposition

to defendant's motion for bail pending appeal. I have

-:40:1825

```
15:40:24 1
             received an addendum to the presentence report dated
             February 19th, 2008. I have received from the probation
15:40:28 2
15:40:35 3
             office a letter dated March 3rd, 2008, although it says
15:40:41 4
             "3008." I have received a letter dated January 29th, 2008,
             from the probation office.
15:40:51 5
15:40:55 6
                       Then I have received documents previously filed
             and considered at earlier hearings, including the
15:41:00 7
15:41:03 8
             government's position on resentencing dated November 28th,
15:41:07 9
             2007, a previous letter from the probation office dated
15:41:1310
             October 29th, 2007, defendant's sentencing memorandum with a
15:41:2111
             date of October 15th, 2007.
15:41:2612
                       Counsel, are there other documents I should have
 1:41:2913
             received and reviewed. Mr. Ezekiel (sic)?
15:41:3614
                       MR. CORTEZ: I can't think of anything else, your
15:41:3715
             Honor, no.
15:41:3816
                       MR. STOLPER: Your Honor.
                       THE COURT: Just a moment. Let's hear from
15:41:4117
             Mr. Miller.
15:41:4218
15:41:4619
                       (Attorney discussion held off the record.)
                       MR. CORTEZ:
15:41:5120
                                    May I have a moment, your Honor?
15:41:5321
                       (Attorney discussion held off the record.)
15:41:5622
                       MR. CORTEZ: No, we don't have -- your Honor
15:41:5823
             covered everything.
15:41:5924
                       THE COURT: All right.
 1:42:0025
                       Mr. Stolper.
```

15:42:01 1	MR. STOLPER: Just the letters from the victims,
15:42:02 2	your Honor, which I believe the Court has.
15:42:06 3	THE COURT: Oh, my goodness. Thank you for
15:42:07 4	reminding me. Yes, I have received and let's just say
15:42:13 5	voluminous letters from the victims.
15:42:16 6	Has the government provided those letters to
15:42:18 7	Mr. Showalter?
15:42:20 8	MR. STOLPER: Your Honor, the government
15:42:21 9	requested the Court provide those letters to make sure we
15:42:2410	are all on the same page, and as I understand it, that did
15:42:2811	happen.
15:42:2912	THE COURT: Yes. And, in fact, Mr. Showalter's
:42:3113	papers, I think, reference some of those letters.
15:42:3414	All right. Have all parties received the material
15:42:3815	from the probation office in sufficient time to review and
15:42:4316	discuss them? Mr. Stolper?
15:42:4617	MR. STOLPER: We have, your Honor.
15:42:4618	THE COURT: Mr. Ezekiel?
15:42:4819	MR. CORTEZ: Yes, sir, we have.
15:42:4920	THE COURT: All right. Let's get to the issue of
15:42:5021	the calculation of the correct guideline range. The
15:42:5622	probation office, as I understand it, has an offense level
15:43:0123	of 33, a criminal history category of 1, resulting in a
15:43:0524	guideline range of 135 to 168 months.
:43:1225	Mr. Stolper, is that where the probation office is

9	
15:43:16 1	on this?
15:43:17 2	MR. STOLPER: It is, your Honor.
15:43:17 3	THE COURT: And where is the government on the
15:43:19 4	correct guideline calculation?
15:43:20 5	MR. STOLPER: The government concurs with the
15:43:21 6	range, your Honor.
15:43:23 7	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Ezekiel.
15:43:26 8	MR. MILLER: Your Honor, Ken Miller, if I may be
15:43:28 9	heard on this issue briefly.
15:43:3010	THE COURT: You certainly can, Mr. Miller.
15:43:3011	MR. MILLER: Thank you.
15:43:3112	THE COURT: Why don't you stand at the lectern.
:43:3313	MR. MILLER: Yes, your Honor.
15:43:3614	I would like to just focus on four offense levels
15:43:4115	that are included within the probation's calculation. It is
15:43:4616	the for starters, the additional two levels for the
15:43:5117	number of victims, there is a two-level enhancement for more
15:43:5618	than 10 victims, and there is a four-level enhancement for
15:44:0319	more than 50 victims. Once the Court and probation get out
15:44:0620	to saying there is more than 50 victims, they are outside of
15:44:1121	the plea agreement.
15:44:1222	Now, Mr. Showalter has repudiated the plea
15:44:1423	agreement, but the Court's denied our motion to withdraw.
15:44:1824	So to the extent that the Court is relying on that,
5:44:2025	obviously we object. But regardless, 50 victims, there has

15:44:30 2 15:44:34 3 15:44:36 4 15:44:41 5 15:44:45 6 15:44:47 7 15:44:49 8 15:44:52 9 15:44:5610 15:45:0011 15:45:0512 :45:1013 15:45:1414 15:45:1515 15:45:2116 15:45:2517 15:45:2918 15:45:3019 15:45:3220 15:45:3721 15:45:3922 15:45:4223 15:45:4424 $\overline{:}45:5125$

to be additional evidence to get to 50 victims. You can't simply rely on the plea agreement.

When you count up the number of victims that are listed in the presentence report, I believe there is 18. If you count up the number of victims that testified -- if you add in the people that testified at the last hearing, I think that's up to 23.

But no matter how you look at it, the government has not shown that there are more than 50 victims, and I don't think the Court can infer that there are more than 50 victims from the fact that High Park Investment had over 117 investors or lenders, because a lot of those investors or lenders claimed that they were not victims.

If you look at the letter from Tom Cavette (phonetic), which is listed in the presentence report, he goes through it and he says, "I represent 54 people. We've invested \$9 million, and Mr. Showalter has done all of these good things."

I submit that there is insufficient evidence for this Court to impose four levels, rather than two, for the number of victims.

As to the amount of loss, the plea agreement says -- and this is the other two points that I would like to address. The -- under 2B1.1, the offense level goes up based on the amount of loss. If it's -- in the plea

agreement, there is a minimum there, it's 1 million; and if 15:45:54 1 the Court were to find a 1 million loss, there would be 15:45:57 2 15:46:00 3 certain offense levels that are added. Once you are past 15:46:03 4 2.5 million, it goes up again. And once you are past 7 million, it goes up again. 15:46:06 5 15:46:09 6 If you add up the amount of loss from the victims 15:46:13 7 identified in the presentence report, you are under 15:46:16 8 3 million. If you add in the losses from the last hearing 15:46:24 9 we had in December, from the people that spoke, that gets 15:46:2710 you to about 3.7 million. You are still not up to the 15:46:3111 7 million that you need to in order to have the -- what is it, from the PSR, the 20-level, offense level bump. If you 15:46:3712 :46:4313 are between 2.5 million and 7 million, it should only be an 15:46:4814 18-offense level bump. So my point here today, without waiving anything, 15:46:5015 15:46:5316 just looking at what's in --15:46:5417 THE COURT: Let me state for the record --15:46:5618 MR. MILLER: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: -- nothing will be waived. 15:46:5719 I understand the position you are in. So that goes for all of 15:46:5920 15:47:0121 the statements you will be making. 15:47:0322 MR. MILLER: Thank you, your Honor. Then with that said, I would just point out that 15:47:0423 looking at the PSR, it doesn't justify more than 50 victims; 15:47:0724 5:47:1025 looking at the PSR, it does not justify more than \$7 million

15:47:15 1	loss. The only way that you get to that is if you assume
15:47:18 2	that all 117 lenders investors are victims, and the
15:47:24 3	record does not bear that out.
15:47:26 4	And with that, your Honor, I would submit.
15:47:29 5	THE COURT: All right. So if I'm hearing you
15:47:31 6	right, you are suggesting certainly a criminal history
15:47:36 7	category of 1.
15:47:38 8	MR. MILLER: Yes, your Honor.
15:47:39 9	THE COURT: And an offense level of?
15:47:4110	MR. MILLER: Twenty-nine total.
15:47:4211	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Stolper, Mr. Miller
15:47:5012	has concisely framed the issue as to amount of loss and
47:5513: د	victims.
15:47:5914	MR. STOLPER: Your Honor, Mr. Miller's arguments,
15:48:0215	respectfully, make a faulty assumption, the assumption
15:48:0616	that
15:48:0717	THE COURT: I'm sorry.
15:48:0818	MR. STOLPER: Mr. Miller arguments suffer from a
15:48:1119	faulty assumption.
15:48:1220	THE COURT: Okay.
15:48:1221	MR. STOLPER: Mr. Miller's arguments suffer from
15:48:1522	an assumption that High Park was not a scam, and
15:48:1923	unfortunately for the victims in this courtroom, it was.
15:48:2224	And as a result, the money that those people paid into
5:48:2525	High Park was taken from them, from the defendant, under

15:48:28 1 15:48:32 2 15:48:33 3 15:48:37 4 15:48:44 5 15:48:49 6 15:48:53 7 15:48:56 8 15:48:58 9 15:49:0210 15:49:0511 15:49:1012 :49:1413 15:49:1814 15:49:2215 15:49:2516 15:49:2817 15:49:3218 15:49:3419 15:49:3820 15:49:4221 15:49:4622 15:49:5023 15:49:5424 5:49:5425

false pretenses. That's what he pled guilty to, whether he repudiates it or not.

As a result, those folks are all victims. Those folks' money are all losses. Mr. Miller has said that this is in the PSR, that is in the PSR. That is not entirely true. The PSR has an addendum, and that addendum lists every victim, every amount of money they were victimized for.

For defendant to get up here and say that those people aren't victims because they haven't come forward and complained specifically, is not the definition of a victim under the guidelines, it's not how High Park worked.

I submit that every one of the people who put money into High Park did so based upon the defendant's false and fraudulent representations. That's what the defendant pled guilty to. As a result, they are all victims, and the money they paid in is all loss.

One other point just to draw some clarity for the Court, the defendant acknowledged that there are at least 10 victims. The one thing I didn't hear Mr. Miller do was distinguish why the people who were mentioned by name in the text or the beginning part of the PSR and not at the end part of the PSR, how those are different, why those -- why some are considered victims in Mr. Miller's way of looking at the world, and others are not.

```
The truth here, your Honor, is quite simple:
15:49:56 1
15:49:59 2
            High Park was a scam. The defendant obtained money from
             these folks under false pretenses. They are all victims.
15:50:03 3
15:50:06 4
             It is all loss.
                       Unless the Court has questions, I --
15:50:08 5
                       THE COURT: Yes. Will you supplement the record
15:50:10 6
            by stating the -- I believe it was the range of victims as
15:50:12 7
             set forth in the plea agreement.
15:50:17 8
                       MR. STOLPER: It was -- there is actually two
15:50:19 9
            points, your Honor. The plea agreement sets forth more than
15:50:2110
15:50:2411
             10 victims. But the plea agreement's an open-ended
             agreement. The parties agree that this is the baseline
15:50:2612
             amount of offense -- the baseline offense level, and the
  :50:2913
             parties are free -- both parties are free to argue for
15:50:3214
             additional and for -- to argue for additional offense
15:50:3615
15:50:3816
             level -- excuse me, additional adjustments and departures as
15:50:4117
             appropriate.
                       And so for Mr. Miller to say that the plea
15:50:4218
15:50:4519
             agreement doesn't contain that, he's right; but it does
15:50:4820
             contain a provision wherein the parties are allowed to argue
15:50:5221
             for additional loss, additional victims and additional
             offense level characteristics.
15:50:5622
15:50:5723
                       I would also note for the Court that the plea
15:50:5924
             agreement also sets forth a leadership adjustment of two
             levels, which the government is agreeing not to seek in this
 :51:0225
```

```
case largely because of a lack of support for it in the PSR.
15:51:04 1
15:51:09 2
             Certainly it would be in our rights to do so, but as ever,
            your Honor, the government tries to, the best we can, get it
15:51:12 3
15:51:16 4
             right. And to the extent that the defendant was willing to
             agree to that as a leadership enhancement, that wasn't borne
15:51:18 5
             out by probation. We are walking away from that.
15:51:21 6
                       So in terms of the total amount of difference
15:51:25 7
            between what the probation office is asking for and what the
15:51:27 8
15:51:31 9
            plea agreement calls for, it's actually a four-level
15:51:3410
             difference, your Honor. Three of those levels comes from
             acceptance of responsibility.
15:51:3611
15:51:3812
                       THE COURT: Understood.
                       Now, the four-level increase requires 50 or more
  :51:3913
15:51:4314
            victims, correct?
15:51:4415
                       MR. STOLPER: It's actually only, as I understand
15:51:4516
             it, a two-additional level.
                       THE COURT: The total of four requires --
15:51:4817
15:51:5118
                       MR. STOLPER: Fifty or more.
15:51:5119
                       THE COURT: I understand your point, but it
15:51:5120
             requires --
15:51:5221
                       MR. STOLPER: That's correct, your Honor.
15:51:5422
                       THE COURT: It requires 50 or more. And the claim
            here is how many victims; 117?
15:52:0023
15:52:0424
                       MR. STOLPER: I actually haven't sat down and
 -:52:0625
             counted up the number of that --
```

```
±5:52:08 1
                                  I may be looking at an older document.
15:52:10 2
             I'm looking at the October 29th document, but it's
15:52:14 3
             substantially more than 50, correct?
15:52:17 4
                       MR. STOLPER: It's over 100, your Honor.
15:52:19 5
                       THE COURT: All right. Anything further,
15:52:20 6
            Mr. Stolper?
                       MR. STOLPER: Not on the offense level, your
15:52:22 7
15:52:23 8
            Honor, no.
15:52:24 9
                       THE COURT: From the defense, anything further on
15:52:2610
            the quideline calculation?
                       MR. MILLER: Simply, your Honor, that Mr. Stolper
15:52:2811
            has the burden of proof on this case. It is not incumbent
15:52:3012
  :52:3513
            upon us to come in and show why one group of investors is
            different from another group of investors. But, in fact,
15:52:3814
             the PSR at paragraphs 26 and 27 talks about how one group of
15:52:3815
15:52:4416
             investors is, in fact, different.
                       And we also had previously submitted information
15:52:4617
15:52:4918
            undermining the existence of a fraud at all. And so we've
15:52:5419
             done our best to show that a number of these victims -- a
            number of these people do not consider themselves victims
15:52:5720
15:53:0021
             and have not claimed to be victims. And because it is the
15:53:0622
            government's burden on this issue, I would just say they
15:53:1123
             have not met it, and submit.
15:53:1324
                       THE COURT: All right.
                       Mr. Stolper, what is your burden on this issue?
-:53:1425
```

±5:53:17 1	MR. STOLPER: Your Honor, it's the preponderance
15:53:18 2	of the evidence standard, and it's met by the defendant's
15:53:21 3	plea agreement and the information provided by the
15:53:22 4	probation office, namely that the defendant agreed that
15:53:26 5	High Park was a fraud, and that these folks were investors
15:53:29 6	in High Park.
15:53:30 7	It's like essentially another Ponzi scheme, your
15:53:33 8	Honor, the money that goes in that didn't come out, and it
15:53:36 9	all went in under false pretenses. I don't think there is
15:53:4010	any allegation here that the, quote-unquote, "nonvictims"
15:53:4411	were not there is no evidence to the contrary. The only
15:53:4812	evidence that exists is the probation report as well as the
:53:5113	plea agreement.
15:53:5214	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
15:53:5315	The Court is going to find that the correct
15:53:5616	guideline calculations are as follows:
15:54:0017	Offense level of 33, criminal history category of
15:54:0418	1, giving the range of 135 to 168 months.
15:54:1119	Let me just state what the significance of that
15:54:1420	is. In sentencing in federal court, the first step is to
15:54:1921	determine what the offense level is, and that's based on
15:54:2222	what went on in the crime.
15:54:2923	Let me get closer to the microphone. The in
15:54:3624	sentencing in federal court, the first step is to determine
:54:3925	the guideline range. To do that, we first have a discussion

15:54:43 1 about what the exact nature of the crime is. That's what 15:54:46 2 you've been hearing here. For larger amounts, the offense 15:54:52 3 level gets larger. For a higher number of victims, the 15:54:56 4 offense level gets higher. Then once you determine the offense level, the 15:54:59 5 defendant's criminal history is examined, and there are 15:55:02 6 various levels reflecting the defendant's criminal history. 15:55:08 7 15:55:12 8 So what we've just done is calculate the offense level at 33 15:55:15 9 and the criminal history at 1. 15:55:1910 The next thing we do in federal sentencing is to determine a proper sentence, and here we will hear from 15:55:2511 defense counsel. We'll hear from the defendant, if he 15:55:3012 :55:3513 wishes. We'll hear from the government's counsel, and we'll also hear from any victims in this courtroom that want to 15:55:3814 15:55:4115 speak to this issue. 15:55:4316

Now, when we had our hearing previously, a number of people came forward. In fact, I recognize your faces out there right now. I believe this gentleman was here with his relative.

But in any event, if any of you wish to speak, you'll be given an opportunity to do that. There is a good number of people in the courtroom, and so we can't let you speak all day. But I find that usually people are brief and to the point in what they have to say.

So in the -- now, to determine a proper

15:55:5420

15:55:5219

15:55:4617

15:55:4918

15:55:5821

15:56:0222

15:56:0523

15:56:1024

:56:1225

```
sentence -- I actually think it might be best if we hear
15:56:16 1
             first from the victims, and that will give everyone an
15:56:22 2
             opportunity to comment upon what the victims have to say.
15:56:26 3
             And so with that, I'm going to ask if any of you out there
15:56:31 4
             in the courtroom wish to come forward and make any
15:56:36 5
15:56:38 6
             comments.
15:56:39 7
                       And there is a hand up.
15:56:40 8
                       Please step forward, sir.
15:56:43 9
                       What we are going to do is just like we did last
15:56:4610
             time --
                       And actually, Mr. Stolper, if you want to go to
15:56:4611
             the back of the court and just see who else might want to
15:56:5112
  :56:5513
             speak.
15:56:5614
                       MR. STOLPER: Okay.
                       THE COURT: All right. With that, sir, you've
15:56:5615
15:56:5816
             come forward. And I'm going to put a time limit of four
             minutes on what people may say, because I see a number of
15:57:0517
15:57:0818
             hands up there, sir, and so try and keep within the four
15:57:1219
             minutes.
15:57:1320
                       MR. STOLPER: Your Honor, just so the Court knows,
15:57:1421
             I count three victims that would like to be heard today.
15:57:1822
                       THE COURT: Pardon?
                       MR. STOLPER: I count three victims that would
15:57:1823
15:57:1824
             like to be heard today.
7:57:1925
                       THE COURT: All right. So go ahead, sir.
                                                                   First
```

<u>15:57:21 1</u>	your name, sir.
15:57:22 2	MR. YARRINGTON: My name is Al Yarrington.
15:57:26 3	THE COURT: All right. Could you spell it for our
15:57:27 4	court reporter here.
15:57:29 5	MR. YARRINGTON: It's Albert, A-1-b-e-r-t,
15:57:32 6	Yarrington, Y-a-r-r-i-n-g-t-o-n.
15:57:42 7	THE COURT: I'm sorry, could you spell that one
15:57:45 8	more time, last name.
15:57:46 9	MR. YARRINGTON: Y-a-r-r-i-n-g-t-o-n.
15:57:5010	THE COURT: Yes, Albert L. Yarrington. Go ahead,
15:57:5511	sir.
15:57:5612	MR. YARRINGTON: Your Honor, I wear hearing aids,
:57:5913	too, so sometimes I get a little loud because I'm trying to
15:58:0314	hear myself sometimes.
15:58:0515	THE COURT: That's all right.
15:58:0616	MR. YARRINGTON: I'm an investor with High Park, I
15:58:0817	was, and I was shown the property and
15:58:1118	THE COURT: Was your investment \$50,000?
15:58:1319	MR. YARRINGTON: \$50,000, yes, sir.
15:58:1620	THE COURT: Yes.
15:58:1721	MR. YARRINGTON: And I've been an investor in real
15:58:2022	estate for 30 years. I'm 75 years old, and I think I know a
15:58:2523	good investment when I see one. But there's times that in
15:58:3224	all walks of life you run into people, and you can be
:58:3725	frauded by them or and so this happens.

```
15:58:40 1
                      And in this case here, I know that there is over a
15:58:46 2
            hundred people that's been -- their money has been taken
15:58:52 3
             away from them from Mr. Showalter sitting over here on my
15:58:57 4
             left. And I'm only -- in his pleadings, his attorney's
             pleadings for -- to -- for bail, I object to that, your
15:59:03 5
             Honor. I think that he should -- he should pay for his
15:59:08 6
             crimes. And I understand he has a history of crimes of this
15:59:14 7
15:59:18 8
             nature, and so I implore you to give him the maximum
15:59:24 9
             sentence that's allowed under the law. Thank you.
                       THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir.
15:59:2610
15:59:3111
                      Let me just say, as the next gentleman walks
15:59:3412
             forward, Mr. -- is it Yarrington or Yarrington?
  :59:3713
                       (No audible response.)
15:59:3814
                       THE COURT: Mr. Yarrington, Yarrington?
15:59:4115
                      MR. YARRINGTON: Yes, sir.
                                  Which is it, Yarrington or Yarrington?
15:59:4216
                       THE COURT:
15:59:4617
                       MR. YARRINGTON: Yarrington.
15:59:4618
                       THE COURT: Mr. Yarrington, you mentioned the
15:59:4819
             issue of bail. That is something else we will be taking up
             here today. The issue on bail is whether the defendant be
15:59:5120
             given some time between the sentence today and when he goes
15:59:5721
16:00:0122
             to jail, and you've responded to that. The question is not
16:00:0723
             just bail. It's whether he be given some time between today
16:00:1124
             and when he has to report.
7:00:1425
                       Yes, sir. Your name is?
```

⊥6:00:16 1	MR. MOTAMEDI: Good afternoon, your Honor. My
Parties and the second second second	
16:00:17 2	name is Kamyar Motamedi, K-a-m-y-a-r, and my last name is
16:00:26 3	Motamedi, M-o-t-a-m-e-d-i.
16:00:33 4	THE COURT: All right, sir.
16:00:34 5	MR. MOTAMEDI: Your Honor, I responded to the ad
16:00:38 6	in October of 2004 regarding a safe and sound and a very
16:00:46 7	promising investment from L.A. Times. And it took me two
16:00:52 8	months to investigate, and I went to the Internet, and I
16:00:56 9	looked this gentleman up, and I went to their offices. And
16:00:5910	I went and looked through many different sites with his
16:01:0511	agents, because I was interested to be placed on a second
16:01:0812	deed of trust on a property that I thought would be
:01:1013	suitable. And after two months, they called me back; they
16:01:1414	said, "Yes, we have found the right place for you, and this
16:01:1815	is where it's going to be."
16:01:2016	Little I knew that it took them over a year or so
16:01:2517	to produce a deed of trust, under tremendous pressure from
16:01:3118	me and others to see a deed a document that would show a
16:01:3519	recorded deed of trust that we could hang our hat on.
16:01:4020	And then it turned out that I was one of many
16:01:4321	different people that was placed on that position. Needless
16:01:4722	to say, that is all gone and lost. That was
16:01:5223	THE COURT: Your investment was your investment
16:01:5524	\$100,000?
:01:5625	MR. MOTAMEDI: Yes. That was my first investment.

16:01:58 1 When I came in to give my money for the first \$50,000, 16:02:03 2 Mr. Showalter and his agents encouraged me to spend another 16:02:10 3 \$50,000 because they have this even better deal that I could 16:02:14 4 not refuse. And I foolishly went back and brought another 16:02:20 5 check for another \$50,000 and with my two own hands, handed 16:02:25 6 it over to him. And since then, I've regret that. That was 16:02:29 7 the money that I got out of my equity line of credit from my 16:02:33 8 own residence. And to this date, I am still paying the 16:02:38 9 price for it on a monthly basis. 16:02:4110 I know a lot of it was my mistake because I just got into this thing without really thinking clearly. But 16:02:4511 16:02:4912 some of it is this gentleman's fault because I asked him, I :02:5313 said, you know, "I saw your name in Internet associated with 16:02:5814 a different Ponzi game." 16:02:5915 And he says, "Oh, this is all a misunderstanding. 16:03:0216 Don't listen to these things. Government is just bunch of 16:03:0617 nonsense people, and they are after you for some 16:03:0918 misunderstanding. Don't worry about it. We are going to 16:03:1219 make a lot of money for you and your family, " and that's it. 16:03:1520 And I told him that I have two small children, you 16:03:1821 know, and I can't just risk it. 16:03:2022 He says, "Don't worry about it. You are in good hands." 16:03:2323 16:03:2324 I am here in front of you. I'm asking for justice 1:03:2625 to the maximum extent possible. Thank you for your time.

16:03:30 1 THE COURT: All right. 16:03:31 2 Any others? 16:03:36 3 Yes, ma'am? 16:03:41 4 MS. TIBAU: Good afternoon, your Honor. Thank you 16:03:43 5 for allowing me to address the Court once again. My name is 16:03:46 6 Anita Tibau, T-i-b-a-u. 16:03:50 7 And since the last hearing, it has come to my 16:03:54 8 attention -- I have learned of other victims and their 16:03:58 9 stories, and they are so tragic. And my passion for 16:04:0210 justice, to see it served for all of these people -- some of 16:04:0611 them I've met along the way, and some of them I've known --16:04:0912 compelled me to come back again to beg the Court, do not let :04:1313 this man out if he tries to appeal this -- and I'm sure that 16:04:1714 he will -- on bond. He is a menace to society. He will 16:04:2115 continue this. 16:04:2116 He has taken money from the poorest people who 16:04:2617 have put their life savings, old people who have now passed 16:04:3018 away, and their families will never ever recover. He is going to continue on this path because that is the path that 16:04:3419 16:04:3720 he has chosen. If he wanted to do the right thing, we 16:04:4021 would not be here in this court today. I implore the Court, 16:04:4422 do not let this man post bond under any circumstances 16:04:4823 because, again, you will find more people back in this court 16:04:5024 again. :04:5125 Thank you very much.

```
16:04:51 1
                       THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
16:04:54 2
                       Anybody else?
16:05:00 3
                       Yes, sir?
16:05:02 4
                       MR. LIPPERT: My name is Johann Lippert,
16:05:05 5
             J-o-h-a-n-n L-i-p-p-e-r-t, and my wife, Edel.
16:05:13 6
                       MRS. LIPPERT: I'm Edel Lippert, E-d-e-l
16:05:13 7
             L-i-p-p-e-r-t.
16:05:24 8
                       THE COURT: Right. Mr. Lippert, welcome.
                                                                  You
16:05:26 9
             spoke before, as I recall. You spoke at the last hearing.
16:05:3110
                       MR. LIPPERT:
                                    Yes.
16:05:3211
                       THE COURT: And you are welcome to speak again.
16:05:3312
            You are all welcome to speak again.
 :05:3513
                       MR. LIPPERT: I will make it short again and put
16:05:3714
             the emphasis where it belongs, and that is my $200,000 -- I
16:05:4515
             sold my house and got the $200,000 to investing it to
             supplement my income -- my retirement. And I was promised a
16:05:5116
16:05:5617
             second trust deed, which I got, but there were 10 people on
16:06:0018
                 Three months later I found out. I did not know there
16:06:0519
             is such a thing. And, of course, it was the house was so
16:06:0920
             over-encumbered, 10 people on one property. You know, it
16:06:1321
            was three times over-encumbered. And so I lost it. It was
16:06:1722
            foreclosed, and so I lost my money. And he's still not in
16:06:2123
            jail. Thank you, your Honor.
16:06:2424
                       THE COURT: And, sir, you are 77, are you?
                      MR. LIPPERT:
 :06:2925
                                     Almost.
```

16:06:29 1 Almost, okay. Thank you. THE COURT: 16:06:36 2 MR. LIPPERT: I'm 76. 16:06:42 3 THE COURT: All right. Anything further? 16:06:44 4 Yes, sir. MR. HAMIDEH: Thank you, your Honor, for giving me 16:06:49 5 16:06:50 6 the opportunity to come to the court. Thank you. My name is Mayef Hamideh, M-a-y-e-f, first name; last name, 16:06:54 7 16:06:59 8 H-a-m-i-d-e-h. 16:07:04 9 I can't speak for everyone over there in back of 16:07:0710 I know everyone is suffering the consequences that this 16:07:1111 Mr. Showalter did to all of us. Maybe not talking enough --16:07:1512 is enough of what he did. I'm a person that had \$50,000, :07:1913 not even quite \$50,000. I had to get a loan from my brother 16:07:2614 because I thought in faith -- I had a lot of faith in this 16:07:2915 guy that -- the way he talked to you, he brainwash you, he 16:07:3316 make it look the bad in a paradise. 16:07:3617 He did many bad things before because his 16:07:3918 background -- I check him out. I checked the High Park 16:07:4219 Investment with TRW, with the other sources, and nothing 16:07:4720 came up because he had background from before the time that 16:07:5121 had -- that they had knowledge on him. And because of that, 16:07:5522 I invested with this guy. And even though when I went to 16:07:5923 his office in Huntington Beach, the first office he had, he 16:08:0224 mentioned to me something about having a policeman investing :08:0825 here. He mentioned to me having powerful people investing